Revenue Commissioner—We have already been doing all this. The Special Finance Committee is examining the situation and the revenue collection will be attended to with due diligence.

Mr. Krishna Sastri.—I am not referring to the collection of revenue but to the want of proper supervision.

Revenue Commissioner.—If you point out to me instances where supervision is wanting, needful action will be taken.

Mr. Karnick Krishnamurti Rao.—I propose that the Deputy Commissioners should do *jamabandi* work and not leave it to the Sub-Division Officers. The *jamabandi* as at present conducted does not serve any useful purpose.

Mr. Timmappaiya.—Everything is being done properly, and there is nothing wrong.

Dewan:—The subject will be examined once more.

SUBJECT NO. 6.

Irregularities in the allotment of lands given in exchange for those submerged in the Krishnarajasagara.

Messrs. Lingegowda, Mysore Taluk; Channappa, Yedatore Taluk, and Appajigowda, Mysore District Board.

Mr. Lingegowda.—Necessary investigation should be made about the alleged irregularities in the allotment of lands in exchange for those submerged in the Krishnarajasagara. I request also that Government should give proper attention to this matter.

First Member of Council.—Government have been investigating such cases.

Dewan.—Enquiries will be made.

SUBJECT NO. 7.

Regular payment of *aya* to *Totis* and *Talaris*.

Mr. Gopalappa, Challakere Taluk.

Summary of Representation.—The *aya* for *Totis* and *Talaris* is not regularly paid to them by the raiyats. The result is not only that they are put to much hardship, but it is also very difficult to find men who are willing to come forward to take up *toti* and *talari* duties, and Government work as a consequence suffers considerably. To remedy this, a register should be maintained in each village showing the names of raiyats and the quantity of the *aya* payable by each of them. The Sheikdar when he visits the village, should check the register and see that the *aya* due to the village servants is paid to them in time by all the raiyats whose names are entered in it.

(Discussed with the Budget.)

SUBJECT NO. 8.

Grant of suitable lands from the Amrit Mahal Kavals for cultivation.

Mr. Shankarappa, Holalkere Taluk.

Summary of Representation.—Some of the lands surrendered by the Amrut Mahal Department are unfit for cultivation. It is submitted that in lieu of such lands, other suitable lands may be given from the Amrut Mahal Kavals.

(Discussed with the Budget.)

SUBJECT NO. 9.

Modifications of the rules relating to large landed estates.

Mr. L. Vema Reddi, Malur Municipality.

Mr. L. Vema Reddi.—A minimum of 50 acres is fixed and alienation is prohibited. Large acreage is to be taken and only a portion of it is good. According to the present rules, we are required to pay tax on the area covered by dwelling houses and also on the pasture lands used for the grazing of cattle.

Revenue Commissioner.—In the case of land covered by dwelling houses, Government will consider the request. As regards the pasture lands, it is not possible to comply with the request. Government have passed orders that no change in the existing rules is necessary. No further concession can be granted. An exception may be made in the case of very inferior lands.

Mr. Vema Reddi.—If this much is notified, people will come forward.

Revenue Commissioner.—We will consider.

Mr. Purushottama Anandagiri Goswami.—Rules are alright. No change is necessary. Any further concession will be misused.

SUBJECT No. 10.

Non-levy of Irrigation Cess until the restoration of the tanks is taken up.

Mr. B. Nagappachar, Belur Taluk.

Mr. Nagappachar.—If a cess is levied, something must be done. Otherwise cess has got to be stopped. In some places it is levied, in some places not.

Revenue Commissioner.—Your proposition is different. Please refer to the Subject.

Mr. Nagappachar.—Irrigation Cess may not be levied until the work of the restoration of the tanks is taken up.

Revenue Commissioner.—When wet assessment is collected, a separate Irrigation Cess is not levied.

SUBJECT No. 11.

Abolition of the Irrigation Cess and non-imposition of the Education Cess.

Messrs. Veere Gowda, Dodballapur Taluk; T. Ramachendra Rao, Bangalore District Board, and D.S. Hanumantha Rao, Devanhalli Taluk.

Summary of Representation.—The rates of assessment in force on the dry, wet and garden lands are themselves high, and in addition, the raiyats are required to pay several cesses. During resurvey, an Irrigation Cess at the rate of one anna per rupee has been separately imposed on the wet assessment. Besides, the Education Cess is going to be imposed on the assessment of all the lands. It is therefore prayed that Government may be pleased to order that the Irrigation Cess now imposed may be done away with, and the Education Cess may not be introduced, as the raiyats feel it very difficult to pay these cesses.

Mr. D. S. Hanumantha Rao.—During resettlement wet assessment has been raised by 25 per cent. In addition to this, Irrigation Cess has got to be paid. This is unreasonable. Education Cess need not be levied in addition.

Revenue Commissioner.—Orders of Government will issue directing that in the case of areas which will hereafter be resettled, no separate Irrigation Cess need be levied.

Mr. Karnick Krishnamurti Rao.—But, what is to be done in the case of lands resettled recently? Irrigation Cess on land cultivated from percolation, etc, has no meaning.

Dewan.—The question is under the consideration of Government for the last two or three years and the views now offered are noted.

The question of the Education Cess has already been considered separately.

SUBJECT No. 12.

Abolition of Irrigation Cess :—

(*a*) On rain-fed gardens.

(*b*) On *khushki bagayat* lands.

Messrs. Ganganna, and Sivanappa Setti, Chiknayakanhalli Taluk; Maralusiddappa and H. Krishnamurti, Arsikere Taluk.

Mr. Ganganna.—Gardens supplied with Government water may be subjected to this cess; but it is improper to levy the cess when the private holder supplies his own water, or when the gardens are rain-fed.

Mr. Karnick Krishnamurti Rao.—Even in the case of *talparige*, the cess is levied. Where is the justice of it?

Revenue Commissioner.—All such cases will be considered.

Dewan.—Government are prepared to consider such cases favourably as far as possible. But in view of the financial stringency, it is not possible to revise the existing policy completely.